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Abstract
This article analyzes the cosmological model of the divinity of Ibn al-‘Arabi based on relations between mystical and logic. This research is a literature review with a descriptive analytics method. In this case, it reveals and describes as it is Ibn al-‘Arabi’s cosmological model of the divine, then analyzed and interpreted to obtain the proper data. This research finds that Ibn al-‘Arabi’s cosmological model of divine relevant to the times and is a thought that brings humans to the ‘presence’ of God. Ibn al-‘Arabi’s cosmological model of the divine is not only transcendental theological but also mysticism in character. This study is important since it reveals much deeper about the cosmological model of the divinity of Ibn al-‘Arabi. Although research on Ibn al-‘Arabi has been widely carried out including on Ibn al-‘Arabi’s divine cosmology, however, re-examining the model contained in Ibn al-‘Arabi’s divine cosmology has the potential to rediscover new reinterpretations, so that this research can be used as a foundation in the study of Islamic thought.
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INTRODUCTION

Amongst a lot of mystical philosophy thought (Sufism Philosophy) in Islam, Ibn al-ʿArabi is one of the unique models due to his expertise in formulating his mystical experience into philosophy language; hence the presented philosophy is not solely rational, but accomplished with ḍzāraqiyyah (intuitive) thought motive. The other unique characteristics of Ibn al-ʿArabi are his great ability in provoking debate among religious scholars. The most highlighted thought of Ibn al-ʿArabi is about wahdat al-wujud (the unity of being).¹

Based on his concept of wahdat al-wujud, Ibn al-ʿArabi had developed his divine thought throughout intellectual creation and his depth mystical understanding, which is very different from the thinking model developed by numbers of Muslim theologians (sunni) who tend to understand god more on dialectic process based on verses in Qur'an strengthened by rational arguments.

Theological divinity thought is sometimes apologetic according to each perspective of religious streams, and it emphasizes more on truth claim which is based on argumentation power and various hidden purposes lied behind certain group or faction names. In part, the theology thinking model is considered dry since it focuses more on god transcendence, hence those who rely on an sich rational model will not be aware of their inward functionality in understanding the inward itself.

This is what differentiates the rational theology thinking model and mystical philosophers, particularly those who tried to balance God as immanence instead of transcendence. Through the immanence approach, God is not only the focus of the discussion, but God is implemented toward the human spirituality system. God is understood as the existence of human spirituality.

There are several studies which are the author’s preliminary studies in examining Ibn al-ʿArabi’s thoughts, including first, research written in 2014 by Happy Susanto about Philosophy of Humans Ibn al-ʿArabi, which focuses on researching the essence of human beings based on Ibn al-ʿArabi’s vision, its characteristics and effects. Second, a study entitled Ibn al-ʿArabi’s Rejection of Religious Pluralism written by Kholid Karomi in 2014 examines Ibn al-ʿArabi’s rejection of religious pluralism in his thoughts on wahdat al-adyan. Third, research that is written by A.H. Haris Fakhrudin in 2012 about The Concept of Freedom in Sufism: Ibn al-ʿArabi’s Perspective, which discusses the meaning of freedom according to Ibn al-ʿArabi’s thought discourse. Fourth, a study that was written by Abd. Halim Rofi’ie in 2010 entitled Wahdat al-Wujud based on Ibn al-ʿArabi’s Thought, focuses on examining thoughts about wahdat al-wujud Ibn al-ʿArabi.

Based on the preliminary study above, there has been a lot of research on Ibn al-ʿArabi. However, re-examining the model contained in Ibn al-ʿArabi’s divine cosmology rediscovers a new reinterpretation, so that this research can be used as a basis in the study of Islamic thought.. These preliminary studies can be an additional reference for author research on Ibn al-ʿArabi’s thoughts and it can provide references for further research.

This research is a literature review with a descriptive analytics method, an attempt to reveals and describes as it is and analyze by sorting one concept among other concepts to obtain the proper data. In this case, attempts to describe Ibn al-ʿArabi’s cosmological model of the divine, then analyzes what kind of Ibn al-ʿArabi’s cosmological model, to get one conclusion. These research sources are various works that discuss the cosmological model of the divinity of Ibn al-ʿArabi.

IBN AL-‘ARABI IN ISLAMIC MYSTICAL TRADITION

The mystical tradition of Islam began with the *zuhud* attitude of the *zahid* who criticized the glamorous life and hedonism of the Umayyad caliphate in the 1st and 2nd centuries AH/7 and 8 AD. The emergence of various studies on the moral sciences. In this century, 2 (two) distinctive features of Islamic mysticism stand out, regarding ethics and the strong element of philosophy, which later has made some people think that Islamic mysticism has come out of the Islamic element.

Until al-Gazali came after him in the 5th/11th century AD, who reconciled the mystical Islam and the Shari'a which made Islamic mysticism respected again. In the subsequent developments of the 6th and 7th centuries H/12 and 13 AD, Islamic mysticism reappeared with strong philosophical elements, one of which was developed by Ibn al-‘Arabi, which then in the hands of Ibn al-‘Arabi there was a peak of brilliance in the field of mystical philosophy. and its influence continues to flow from time to time.2

It is no doubt that Ibn al-‘Arabi is one of the pinnacles of brilliance in the field of mystical philosophy in his time and his influence keeps flowing from time to time. He was born in Andalus, Murcia Spain in 1165 AD. His full name is Muhammad Ibn ‘Ali Ibn Muhammad Ibn al-‘Arabi al-Tha’i al-Hatimi. When he was eight years old, his family brought his move to Lisbon and later move to Seville. In Seville, he started to study and deepen his Islamic knowledge from many different branches to the Islamic scholars and the Sufis.3

While in Seville, in the further time, Ibn al-‘Arabi occupied a position as Secretary to the Governor of Seville, this is inseparable from his brilliance educational achievement. He later married a pious woman named Maryam. While in Seville, his wife is always there for him, including during his visitation to many areas in Spain and North Africa.4 During his visitation, he met numerous Sufi teachers. This has triggered him to keep pace with the Sufism world since he was twenty years old, in which he finally decided to go with Sufi and help him to become a great Sufi.

Ibn al-‘Arabi isn’t only a great Sufi but also a mystical philosopher. His mastery of knowledge is beyond the mystical realm. He masters the peripatetic philosophy as well that he is one of the teachers in that field. With a background of mastery of a wide variety of disciplines (between mystical and philosophy), Ibn al-‘Arabi can formulate his mystical point of view by using philosophy language. In other words, his inward experiences had been philosophized and became satisfactory metaphysics. Thus, this is well known as *wahdat al-wujud*.5

MYSTICAL APPROACH METHOD OF IBN AL-‘ARABI

Generally, the mystics had the same experience in the inward level, even though it is not in the same depth level. The inward experience of the mystics is an event that is beyond ordinary human’s experience due to the object met in the inward realm is something different from the object known in the real world. As the effect, the role of language is experiencing traffic due to its inability to state the remarkable thing in the inward realm.

The inward experience of the mystics tends to be considered as contradictory or in opposition to the common appropriate thing or the fittingness of the general point of view. The most frequent thing that invites controversy from 2 Rif’i Bachrun and Hasan Mud’is, *Filesat Tasaawuf* (Bandung: Pustaka Setia, 2010).
5 Noer.
the mystics is *shabahat* which was produced at the time of stay.

Overall, *shabahat* is produced at the peak of mystics’ inward experience, that is in the phase of uniﬁcation with God; hence, they are no longer in the ordinary consciousness, but they are at supra-human consciousness, in which human consciousness is absorbed into the consciousness of God. The problem experienced by the mystics when their consciousness isn’t at the level of human is an inability to formulate the deepest experience due to the limitation of tool used to reveal; hence, the expression produced by the mystical experience is meaningless and considered as a mislead for the common people.⁶

The main problem in formulating mystical experience is probably due to inappropriate balance work of common sense in understanding the experience resulted from the inward upgrade. Therefore, Ibn al-’Arabi tried to combine the mystical approach and philosophy which is aimed to enable the interpretation of inner language in revealing God, even though this is complicated as well as controversial.

The approach employed in understanding mystical experience (particularly in the context of uniﬁcation with God) depends on the type of discipline. William Chittick revealed that Ibn al-’Arabi divided two kinds of basic knowledge, namely, knowledge obtained through rational ability, as for the other one is obtained from spiritual practice which is well known as gnosis (*ma’rifah*). This second type of knowledge is also used to call disclosure (*kasyf*), or close to some terms like *dzaq*, *fath*, *bashirah*, *shubud*, and *mushabadah*.⁷

The approach introduced by Ibn al-’Arabi is not very distinct from the approach introduced by the previous mystics. Those approaches focus on the *kashf* approach. The difference between Ibn al-’Arabi and the other mystics is the ability to use his philosophy basic to elaborate his mystical experience as to describe it as far as it can be understood and processed by common sense.

The effort in describing a mystical approach is almost impossible without the help of active common sense. Hence, it is no wonder that Ibn al-’Arabi always trying to liven up the imagination in picturing mystical experience, particularly when it comes to God in a way that simultaneously directs to the transcendence and immanence of God. Even though the describing effort is conducted indistinctly, at least it can tell us about the existence of the divine which covers the Essence of God.⁸ The mystical approach implemented by Ibn al-’Arabi is nothing but the form of transferring “image” of divinity in an inward vision (witnessing) as a way to get close to God which does not merely rely on common sense, but far at the level of the infinite ocean divine.

Mehdi Ha’iri Yazdi revealed that the brilliance of Ibn al-’Arabi in transferring the inward experience into a mystical truth is inseparable from his expertise in using the Irfan method. This method is pioneered by himself. In this context, Ha’iri Yazdi considered Irfan as a language science of mystical awareness.⁹ Irfan’s
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approach can be differentiated by using the common intellectual science method. Chittick in Futuhat of Ibn al-'Arabi mentioned three classifications of science approach. Firstly, intellectual knowledge (‘Ilm al-Aql The Science of reason) is obtained through an investigative approach and it is demonstrative. This type of knowledge can be referred to empirical object or the object recognized by common sense.

Secondly, it is the knowledge about the awareness of inward situations (the science of states, ahwal). This type of knowledge focuses more on the ability to feel, therefore, there is no way in communicating situations that are far beyond common sense but to feel them directly. In relation to this second type of knowledge, common sense is no longer compatible to be the reference in proving the truth of the “situations” in terms of inward witnessing. Simply, take the example of the sweet taste of the honey or the bitter taste of sandalwood. These tastes simply need to be tasted to get to know them. Overall, it has nothing to do with representing the existence of the sweet and bitter tastes as concrete material things.

Thirdly, the knowledge about the mysterious matter (knowledge is the science of the mysteries, ‘ilm al-asrar). This type of knowledge is transcendence intellectual in which its disclosure is depending on the divinity light or the light of pure spirit into human thought. This type of knowledge is only possessed by those who reach the highest maqam such as the Prophets or the holy people.

The third type of knowledge (‘ilm al-asrar) tends to get more priority in the approach of Ibn al-'Arabi in order to achieve knowledge about God through the inward version. Ha'iri Yazdi stated that transcendence intellectual knowledge (‘ilm al-asrar) is the reverse form of phenomenal representation applied for physical objects sensed by human senses. Meanwhile, transcendence knowledge is the knowledge of a mysterious realm in which it is hard to be described at a certain level since common sense and language are not able to reach foreign inward realms to later be processed by the mind. In other words, the inward objects can be seen solely through inward vision without invoking common sense and language.

Nevertheless, language and common sense are inseparable in participating in the inward realm. However, Ibn al-'Arabi mentioned that the kasyfi approach is more prioritized. This is in line with the elaboration of Ha'iri Yazdi about the role of common sense concerning the mystical view of Ibn al-'Arabi as follow:

“Inbn al-'Arabi revealed that common sense has the capability to re-introspect and reformulate this kind of knowledge and bring it back into the phenomenon world. Once common sense has taken this step thoroughly, re-arrange and re-interpret the knowledge that is impossible to explain into the framework, the form of knowledge is phenomenal and representational ways; hence, the knowledge will turn into ordinary intellectual knowledge, just like the other knowledge which is conceptual and understandable, and therefore can be interpreted easily into daily utterances. In brief, Ibn al-'Arabi revealed that the capability of common sense can transmit the knowledge of mysterious realm into intellectual knowledge of phenomenon world.”

The role of common sense is vital importance in bridging or in communicating mysterious experiences based on the point of view applied in the empirical reality. The use of common sense in this context is to seek any
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13 Ha’iri yazdi.

14 Ha’iri yazdi.
possibilities in interpreting experiences obtained from the mystical realm into statements by borrowing many known terminologies. The interpretation of mystical experience via common sense is aimed to create a balance of relational awareness between intellectual faculty and intuition sharpness to allow the trans existence of phenomenal knowledge through representation.15

The next sequence in the context of the mystical approach by Ibn al-‘Arabi is related to means or instruments used to employed to obtain divinity knowledge. Generally, in the mystical tradition inward instrument is widely known. Nicholson stated that Sufi classified three types of the organ that can be called an inward communication tool, namely, heart (qalbu), spirit (rub), and the deepest soul (sirr), each organ has its function. “Heart (qalbu) to get to know God, spirit (rub) to love God, the deepest part of the soul (sirr) to think of God”.16

Based on its function, the heart is of vital importance to reveal the divinity reality. In this term, the heart is not the physical thing (which consists of meat and blood), nevertheless, the heart has a mysterious connection to the physical heart of the human body. About the description of the heart, Nafis revealed that Ibn al-‘Arabi assumed it as a shiny mirror which can be blurred, and therefore each heart needs to be cleaned or purified by doing worship.17

The parable of the heart is like a shiny mirror which has a similarity with the idea of al-Ghazali who mentioned that the heart is like a clear mirror in which its clarity can discover the hidden secrets.18 Through this clarity of the heart; hence, the guidance in the form of divine inspiration is always with its worshipers. In this context, Schimmel revealed Ibn al-‘Arabi asserted that in writing his famous masterpiece, Futubat al-Makkiyyah, there is no letter written but under the guidance of divine of God.19

In a higher inward level, the heart accepts more than just God divinity, but through the level of its clarity can witness tajalli of God (God exists in inward version), in which the curtain that obstructs the inward “sight” there is no any reality but the reality of God. Nurcholish Madjid argued that with the above problem, Ibn al-‘Arabi has revealed that when the reality of the mysterious realm is uncovered, everything is clear, it is no difference between close and open eye, the witnessing is no more depending on the physical eye,20 this is called kashf.

From the description above, the kashf method is the method used by Ibn al-‘Arabi in the mystical approach. With the kashf method, Ibn al-‘Arabi transfers the image of divinity in his inner witnessing closer to God, by relying on his thoughts and inner eyes.

DIVINITY THOUGHT OF IBN AL-‘ARABI

As with other Sufis who have limited thoughts about divinity, Ibn al-‘Arabi also developed it - which in the time of Ibn al-‘Arabi, the limit of thinking about divinity was at its peak. In a sense, these thoughts influence mysticism in Islam as in previous traditions. As for among the divine thoughts of Ibn al-‘Arabi, namely:

Existence

God in the mystical philosophy of Ibn al-‘Arabi is a single Substance that embodies other than its substance. God Substance is the one and the only thing called as exist. God is inseparable
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and independent. Existence is also known as an absolute reality, which in the other understanding, God is considered as a consciousness experienced by God itself in finding itself. More assertively, the term of existence according to Ibn al-'Arabi is only aimed at God, and anything other than God is not eligible in applying that term (masiwallah). However, it is undeniable that Ibn al-'Arabi is using the term of existence for something other than God, but the context is in the metaphoric (majazi) definition. It is also important to remember that the use of existence term for something other than God is not to separate its possessiveness from the actual existence, God. In other words, it can be said that the existence or the shape attached to things is borrowed from God (accidents).  

Furthermore, existence can be differentiated from adam (non-existence), however, adam does not apply to God since God is the real existence; hence, existence and adam are for something other than God because God is relative.  

Substance  
In general, the term substance is used in many different contexts, such as to name a person or thing, or it can also be as the essence or substance of a thing. Concerning the thought of divinity, the term substance is used to call “the self” of God, as for existence is the emphasis on “the presence” of God substance.

As mentioned previously, the existence of God is independent. God is “present” due to and with its substance. Meanwhile, something other than God (the universe) is present due to God. As an independent substance, God is free to determine itself, and its self-determination gave rise to differentiation in its substance. There are many other stages of God in determining and managing itself, and these two acts affect “the presence” of the other. Firstly, God is the substance that manages itself, and this stage is called Abadiyyah (absolute unity). Secondly, God determines and unveils itself, thus this has cause inward differentiation of God’s substance. As the effect, ideas about something (the world) then arise in the thought of God with all of its ideal form (al-a'yun al-Isabitah), which is the formal images or the blueprint of everything in the world which is yet to be created. These ideal images are inseparable from God, the angel is bent down to God. Thus, this stage is called wabidah (one and only). Thirdly, God’s substance determines the existentialism toward objects related to the ideal image in the thought of God (al-a'yun al-Isabitah) by using the knowledge possessed by God itself. This stage is called wabidiyyah.

There are three more stages about determination offered in the context of divinity thought of Ibn al-'Arabi, namely, ta'ayyun rubi, or determination of substance toward spirit, ta'ayyun mitsali is the determination of substance toward symbolic form. The next one is ta'ayyun jasadi, it is the determination of substance toward the body. The determination form of substance toward God itself, will give rise to something else. As mentioned in the above explanation, it is the willingness of the one and only God to show itself through something else (universe) or known as tajalli.

Immanence and Transcendence  
In discussing immanence and transcendence, God is inseparable by emphasizing only one aspect which becomes the tendency, whether it is individual or group. It has to be admitted that, God’s divinity aspects (immanent
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and transcendent) are two reverse poles (coincidentia oppositorum). In the side of God, it is understood as ḥabīr or visible, but also as bāthin, the invisible. God as ḥabīr has similarity (tashbīḥ) with things other than itself, but as bāthin, God shows dissimilarity, incomparable (tanzīḥ).

Chittick mentioned that those two different terms are related with the appearance of God as far as it is can be absorbed, such as: in the context of tashbīḥ, al-ḥabīr, God as the Almighty Visible means that God shows itself via the manifestation of its names, which are the quality attached to its existence. Meanwhile, in the context of tanzīḥ, the definition of al-bāthin as the Almighty Invisible reflects that God is unreachable, beyond perception and understanding: the emphasis of tanzīḥ in the thought of Ibn al-ʿArabi is mentioned in Futuhat as quoted by Nurcholish Majid, as follow:26

من قال يعم إن الله خالقه ولم تجر كان برهانا بان جهلا لا
يعلم الله الاّ الله فانهوا

For those who claimed that he knows Allah is befriended with itself, and he stays with that claim, it shows that this person knows nothing. No one knows about Allah, but Allah itself, so be aware.

The above definition shows that the transcendence of God emphasizes the occurrence of unlimited distinct between God and its creation. This implies a thankful relationship between God and its creation. Nevertheless, the concept of immanence and transcendence are inseparable in the thought of Ibn al-ʿArabi, even though they are contradictory to one another. This kind of contradiction is understandable as long as it is used to elaborate God based on its context.

Abdul Haq Ansari revealed that the thought of Ibn al-ʿArabi about immanence is aimed to explain that God is very close as long as it is related with the world or in the microscopic size it relates with the human being. Next, the transcendence aspect is aimed to explain that God is transcendence as long as it is different from the world. The difference between God and the other thing is implied in its characteristics, namely, unlimited, the Almighty Creator, and the other characteristics which is part of transcendence.28

**Tajalli of God**

The concept of tajalli is assumed to have a central position in the perception of Ibn al-ʿArabi, particularly in building the doctrine of wahdat al-wujud, even in a broader way, the whole philosophies of Ibn al-ʿArabi are inseparable from the theory of tajalli. The term tajalli has many definitions, Chittick mentioned that sometimes it is defined as self-disclosure or self-manifestation.29 Tajalli or disclosure is a form of God immanence in which God can be approached by its servants through this, also God itself can stay closer to its servant.

*Tajalli of God in the thought of Ibn al-ʿArabi* is directed into two, namely, the universe and human being in the tajalli of God. God as the Almighty only shows itself in diversity or the other term known as wahdat and katsrah. Knowing tajalli with the universe can be described as a form of the longing of God in witnessing its beauty though (mirror) universe; hence, it is understandable that the presence of the universe is due to the mazhar of God (the place for God to expose itself). The other interpretation of God disclosure via the creation of the universe is not solely to allow God to see its image, but also to reveal itself to all of its creations, particularly to the human being.30
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stated that Ibn al-‘Arabi completed his argumentation by quoting the hadith of the Prophet as follow:  

“... I am the invisible substance and so I would like to be known; hence, I then create the creature so that I can be unveiled.”

The other explanation about tajalli is discussed by Schimmel. Schimmel argued that in this case, Ibn al-‘Arabi developed a myth of God’s names which reflects longing and loneliness in its solitude. God’s names are “non-existence,” that is yet to be actualized in the “Divine depth.” In the actuality level, these names are exploded out and followed by an action, that is the blast of the divine. After God’s names present themselves in the universe, then all creatures are experiencing contact with God’s names to achieve their existence. Furthermore, it is stated that the presence of the universe or all creatures are the result of the manifestation of God’s love toward itself, in the other word, it can be said that tajalli can be defined as the manifestation of al-Rahman blast which distributes the fragrance of yearning to all parts of God’s creatures.

The whole manifestation of God in all of its creatures is the “mirror” that reflects “God’s image”, and amongst all of its creatures, a human being is the only one who can be the most perfect “mirror” in receiving the reflection of “God’s image”, since human being contains “shurab” (shape) of divinity and automatically human being is containing God’s characteristics. Tajalli of God in the human being is started from the figure of Adam. Adam radiates and reflects the whole of God’s names. As for the peak of human being perfection is contained in Muhammad Shallallahu ‘alaihi wa sallam or known as al-mir’ab al-Mubammadiyyah (the reflection of Muhammad). Therefore, Muhammad is the picture of a perfect human being, which in himself containing the fullest and the most perfect manifestation of God.

In general, the human being is the compilation of the whole image of all creatures in a miniature form and all at once also determine the perfection of divinity characteristics. The characteristics of God attached to the human being is the potential form to understand tajalli of God in himself. The blast of the divine spirit in human beings allows the love energy to be born as the reaction form toward longing of God to its creatures. Thus, this is presenting its actual form between al-baq and al-khalaq to allow mutual longing which is directed to unification, like the unity of sun and light. In this level of understanding, Ibn al-‘Arabi bravely stated that al-khalaq is al-baq, but at the same time he stated that al-khalaq is different from al-baq, since al-khalaq is assumed as a colorful light, as for the source of the light (al-baq) itself has only one color.

The concept of unification in the thought of Ibn al-‘Arabi, particularly wabdat al-wujud seems to be understood as the peak of two forms of yearning who are willing to be reunited. The human being with the potential to has longing and be united with the source of longing always willing to “witness” the face of God in the unveiling. To reach this level, a human needs to begin with understanding himself to be able to capture the manifestation of God’s characteristics in his conscious mirror. In relation to this, Ibn al-‘Arabi revealed his argumentation as follow:


The divinity thought with philosophical motive as well as mystical of Ibn al-‘Arabi’s inseparable from the previous sequence of thought. Generally, mystical philosophy thought in Islam has a very close relationship with the Greek tradition of thought. Even, the thought of Ibn al-‘Arabi is inseparable from the influence of Neoplatonism. It is widely known that the philosophy of Neoplatonism always links God to the principle of unity which emphasizes the aspects of immanence and transcendence, unification and diversification, and on the other side, the philosophy of Neoplatonism also support elements of mystical religion.37 Haidar Bagir argued that the concept of al-a‘yan al-tsabitab is the influence of Greek thought which is Platonism type.38

Besides, Ibn al-‘Arabi is inseparable from Andalus intellectual tradition which is very rich with its numerous studies of philosophy and mysticism. The names like Ibn Bajjah and Ibn Thufayl are mystics philosophers which precedes the great name of Ibn al-‘Arabi in which one of these two has Platonism thinking model in building his mystical thought. For example, once Ibn Thufayl focuses on spiritual discipline, he believed that the highest truth is no more achieved through deduction and induction processed, but they can be obtained directly through intuitive ability. One of the Ibn Thufayl’s point of view which is mystical is about the existence of a single substance which resulted in numerous things, this can be assumed as the ray of the sun that touches the mirror surface and eventually this mirror reflects the colorful ray, however, the diversity of the color will be disappeared when the main focus is the source of the light itself in which there is one light.39

Ibn Thufayl thinking model which is mystical at least provides influence to the generation after; hence, it is no surprise that Ibn al-

37 Lorens Bagus, Kamu Filsafat (Gramedia Pustaka Utama, 2000), 70.
38 Haidar Bagir, Buku Saku Tasawuf (Bandung: Mizan, 2006); Muhammad Basyruhl Muvdi, TIPologi ALIRAN-ALIRAN TASA-WUF (Yogyakarta: Bildung, 2019).
39 M. Hadi Masruri, Ibn Thufa’i; Jalan Pencerahan Mencari Toban (Lkis Pelangi Aksara, 2005), 185, 187.
Iqbal’s critical point of view can be understood as the strong influence of previous Sufi, Ahmad Sirhindi which also rejects the concept of wahdah al-wujud. However, there is one spotlight to be criticized that is when Iqbal criticizes Ibn ‘Arabi arguably, he never talks about Rumi, whereas Nasr revealed that Rumi’s work, *Matsnawi* is considered as a Futuhat in Persian language and Rumi himself is a lot under the influence of Ibn ‘Arabi’s thought via Shadr al-Din Qunyawi.

The rejection toward divinity thought of Ibn al-‘Arabi as discussed previously is mainly on a conceptual level since some people who disagree on his point of view, consider the language term employ to interpret inward experience such as wahdab and katsrab are too risky. Ibn Taymiyyah, for example, as quoted by Muh. Ilham Usman, who criticized Wahdat al-Wujud Ibn al-‘Arabi, said that this understanding said that Allah did not create anything. If what exists in this universe is His form. So, it is impossible for Him to create His own Essence. Because anyone’s mind believes that something cannot create itself. Nevertheless, out of many controversies, the mystical thought of Ibn al-‘Arabi is a result of creation that is aimed to combine mystical religious experience with the ability of common sense. Moreover, common sense may help to articulate the inward experience, even though it is complicated.

**CONCLUSION**

The thought of Ibn al-‘Arabi has already been disseminated broadly across regional or continental borders. His tracks of mind are in each generation, even until today his thought is still appreciated widely in Western, that is in America
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and Europe, so it resulted in the coalescence of Ibn al-'Arabi Society. It is an association aimed to conduct seminary research and publication of history and thought of Ibn al-'Arabi, and his influence in mystical philosophy. The expansion of the influence of Ibn al-'Arabi in this recent time is due to degradation and dehydration of spiritual in the modern world. The human being who has uprooted from his roots wants to rediscover the consciousness spirit which is the radiance of divinity circle center.
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